

VARIATION IN EROSION SUSCEPTIBILITY BETWEEN BUCCAL AND MESIAL SURFACES OF HUMAN PREMOLAR ENAMEL

AMANY AHMED RABEE MOHAMED OSMAN ,Ahmed M. Halawa

Abstract

The increased consumption of carbonated soft drinks is becoming more important because of the concern for dental erosion. Erosive enamel lesions most commonly affect the cervical part of facial surfaces of teeth. The largest segment of the soft drink industry is the colas.

Objective: To study the difference in the buccal and mesial cervical enamel of human premolars.

Material and methods: Twenty extracted sound human maxillary first premolars were used in the present study. Ten premolars served as Reference group (group I): Teeth of this group were utilized to provide ground sections of normal enamel to serve as reference for the control group (Group II). The other ten premolars were utilized as Control group (group II): Teeth of this group were examined and chemically analyzed to determine surface calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P) and carbon (C) weight percentage (wt%) using environmental scanning electron microscope (SEM).

Experimental group (group III): in which the teeth of (Group II) were immersed in 10% EDTA solution for 24 hours. After that, the teeth were examined and chemically analyzed to determine surface calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P) and carbon (C) weight percentage (wt%) using environmental scanning electron microscope (SEM).

Morphometric study: was performed for assessment of the surface affection band thickness. Statistical analysis: was performed to assess the difference between the groups.

Results: The light microscopic results revealed that the control mesial cervical enamel was relatively thinner and more rapidly tapering cervically than buccal cervical enamel. In subsurface enamel, the mesial side presented varying diameter enamel rods. In the buccal cervical third of group (II), both buccal and mesial cervical thirds of group (III) showed an apparent decrease in the enamel thickness with appearance of thick dark band at enamel surface. In the subsurface enamel, both enamel rods and the interrod regions appeared more accentuated. These changes were more obvious mesially than buccally.

Morphometric results: for group III revealed that the mesial cervical enamel showed greater mean measures of the surface affection band than the buccal one. SEM examination of enamel in the buccal cervical third of group (II), revealed plenty of perikymata grooves presenting shallow enamel rod ends & ridges of rodless enamel. Mesial cervical third of group (II), revealed more frequent perikymata grooves with more numerous rod ends & ridges, with narrower areas of rodless enamel. Scanning electron micrographs of enamel in the buccal cervical third of group (III), showed an evident generalized poorly defined surface structure. The mesial cervical enamel of group (III) showed noticeably irregular surface with variable sized small depressions. Statistical analysis: for EDXA data of groups II and III revealed statistically significant higher mean Ca and P wt % and a lower C wt % in the buccal cervical third than those of the mesial one. Moreover, both buccal and mesial cervical parts of group III showed significantly reduced mean Ca and P wt % and a higher C wt % in the mesial cervical third than those of the buccal one.

Conclusions: 1- Mesial cervical enamel normally differs from its buccal counterpart in terms of surface and subsurface structure as well as its elemental composition. 2- The mesial cervical enamel presented greater affection than its buccal counterpart.

Egyptian Dental journal 2015, July